



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,677	02/07/2000	Robert Steinhoff	TI-29599	9140

23494 7590 11/23/2007  
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED  
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999  
DALLAS, TX 75265

EXAMINER
----------

MONDT, JOHANNES P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3663

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

11/23/2007

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com  
uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/498,677

Applicant(s)

STEINHOFF ET AL.

Examiner

Johannes P. Mondt

Art Unit

3663

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Johannes P. Mondt (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) R. N. Rountree (Reg. No.: 39,347) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 19 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: as cited in office action mailed 6/11/07.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Primary Examiner:

 (11/19/07)

Johannes Mondt  
(Art Unit 3663)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative introduced examiner to a newly proposed limitation, i.e., "the current paths of the second and third transistors have a same conductivity type". Examiner raised the issue of new matter based on the Specification's text, although he admits that a difference between conductivity types of the channels of transistors could have been indicated in the drawings according to accepted nomenclature, as is done in the prior art as cited. He also indicated the need for further consideration/or search regardless of the issue of new matter, because the limitation substantially amends the claimed invention. ~~He~~ ..